



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,556	08/17/2001	Gerard Chauvel	TI-32850	3902

23494 7590 12/14/2004  
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED  
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999  
DALLAS, TX 75265

EXAMINER
----------

TRUONG, LECHI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2126

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/932,556	GERARD CHAUVEL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	LeChi Truong	2126	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for the examination.
2. The cross reference related to the application cited in the specification must be updated (i.e. update the relevant status, with PTO serial numbers or patent numbers where appropriated, on page 1 of T1-31366US).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al (US. 5,906,000) in view of Flahive et al (US. Patent 4,449,183).
4. As to claim 1, Abe teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: access to the shared resource (col 3, ln 52-55), address space regions (the address 44 of the caches memory 18, col 4, ln 17-21/ Fig. 2), an address space of the shard resource (the cache memory 18, col 3, ln 65-67), organizing an address space of the shared resources into address space regions (col 1, ln 56-59 / col 4, ln 20-23), access priority value (a priority corresponding to each data, col 1, ln 56-58), assigning individual access priority value to a plurality of the address space regions( col

Art Unit: 2126

1, ln 55-59/ col 4, ln 19-23), initiating an access request ( col 1, ln 59-63/ col 38-41), the access request specifies a target address within the address space of the shared resource( col 5, ln 22-26/ col 6, ln 12-19), providing an access priority value with the access request(col 5, ln 21-24/ col 7, ln 13-16 ), the access priority value corresponds to an access priority value assigned to an address space region selected by the target address(col 6, ln 17-21/col 7, ln 17-21 ), access to the shared resource by using the access priority value(col 1, ln 60-67/ col 7, ln 17-21).

5. Abe does not explicit teach a plurality of devices, arbitrating, digital. However, Flahive teaches a plurality of devices, arbitrating (a plurality of devices, col 3, ln 37-40/ arbitration, col 2, ln 16-17/ digital, col 1, ln 30-31).

6. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Abe and Flahive because Flahive's a plurality of devices, arbitrating would assure equal use opportunity to each processing device by honoring requests for use on a rotating priority basis.

7. **As to claim 2**, Abe teaches an access priority value to an address space region according to a program or data stored within the address space region (col 2, ln 36-37).

8. **As to claim 3**, Abe teaches assigning a first access priority value to a first one of the several address space regions and assigns a different access priority value to a second one of the several address space regions (col 1, ln 56-58).

9. **As to claim 4**, Abe teaches a plurality of program tasks occupy a single address space regions (col 3, ln 47-50).

10. **As to claim 5**, Abe teaches starting a program task (col 4, ln 39-42), determining an access priority value specified by the program task (col 4, ln 40-41), allocating an address space

Art Unit: 2126

region for the program task (col 6, ln 12-17/ col 6, ln 46-50), assigning the access priority value specified by the program task to the address space region allocated for the program task (col 6, ln 12-17/ col 6, ln 46-50).

**11. As to claim 7**, Abe teaches an execution priority value of a program task to which the address space region is allocated (col 7, ln 19-21).

**12. Claims 8, 9 and 11** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayanan et al (US. Patent 4,814,974) in view of Welland (US. Patent 5,581,722).

**13. As to claim 8**, Narayanan teaches a digital system (digital control, col 1, ln 21-22), a shared resource (a resource, col 2, ln 47-48/ ln 63-64), a plurality of devices (devices, col 1, ln 21-22/ ln 63-64), a plurality of devices connected to access the shared resource (col 1, ln 21-22), a memory unit (memory unit, col 7, ln 20-21), a plurality of page entries and each page entry has an access priority field (col 2, ln 49-55/ col 7, ln 52-59), output an access priority value in response to received a request( col 5, ln 30-34/col 4, ln 26-30), arbitration circuitry connected to receive a request signal from each of the plurality of device and an access priority value from each memory unit( col 4, ln 26-29/ ln 51- 55/ abstract ln 5-11 and ln 21-26), the arbitration circuitry is operable to schedule access to the shared resource according to the access priority values( col 4, ln 26-30).

**14. Narayana** do not explicit teach a memory unit as MMUs and receiving an address. However, Welland teaches a memory unit as MMUs and receiving an address (Memory Management units, col 1, ln 50-51/ a virtual address issued by the CPU, col 2, ln 42-44).

Art Unit: 2126

15. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Narayanan and Welland because Welland's a memory unit as MMUs and receiving an address would provide a memory management unit (MMU) for controlling a CPU's right access a memory in order to initiate performance of operation.

16. **As to claim 9**, Welland teaches a translation lookaside buffer (TLB (translation lookaside buffer), col 2, ln 47-48).

17. **As to claim 11**, Narayanan teaches the shared resource is a bus, a plurality of memory-mapped resources connected to the bus (col 4, ln 26-30).

18. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayanan et al (US. Patent 4,814,974) in view of Welland ( US. Patent 5,581,722) and further in view of David Eck( xLogicCircuits Lab 2: Memory Circuits).

19. **As to claim 10**, Narayanan and Welland do not teach a memory circuit. However, David teaches memory circuit (memory circuit, page 5, section Random Access Memory, ln 1).

20. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Narayanan, Welland and David because David 's memory circuit would hold several different binary numbers, which can be used to represent both program and data.

Art Unit: 2126

21. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayanan et al (US. Patent 4,814,974) in view of Welland (US. Patent 5,581,722) and further in view Lysejko et al (US. Patent 5,918,160).

22. As to claim 12, Narayanan and Welland do not teach a wireless communication, a display, radio frequency circuitry, and an aerial. However, Lysejko teaches a wireless communication, a display, radio frequency circuitry, an aerial (Wireless telecommunications system, col 3, ln 61-62/ display 810, col 15, ln 30-31/ radio frequency circuitry, col 10, ln 55-56/ an aerial, col 25, ln 12-13).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Narayanan, Welland and Lysejko because Lysejko 's teaches a wireless communication, a display, radio frequency circuitry, an aerial would provide a subscriber station of a wireless telecommunications system which comprises transmitter/receiver for wireless communication.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LeChi Truong whose telephone number is ( 571) 272 3767. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 - 5.


Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIP. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIP system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll-free).

LeChi Truong

December 2, 2004

  
MENG-AI T. AN  
SENIOR PATENT EXAMINER  
DEC 2 2004